

International Journal of Dermatology, Venereology and Leprosy Sciences

E-ISSN: 2664-942X P-ISSN: 2664-9411 www.dermatologypaper.com/

Derma 2019; 2(2): 48-51 Received: 03-08-2019 Accepted: 07-09-2019

CH Vijay Bhasker Reddy Assistant Professor, Department of DVL, Kamineni Institute of Medical Sciences, Narketpally, Telangana, India

Adult dermatology patients' quality of life and acne vulgaris

CH Vijay Bhasker Reddy

DOI: https://doi.org/10.33545/26649411.2019.v2.i2b.121

Abstract

Background and objectives: To evaluate how adult dermatological patients' life quality is influenced by acne vulgaris.

Method: The study conducts a cross-sectional and longitudinal questionnaire survey. About 80 acne vulgaris sufferers are present for visits alongside the doctors. Results obtained utilizing Skindex, a 29-item standardized tool to assess how skin diseases affect individuals' life quality. Findings are reported as a total scores, three scale ratings (functioning, emotions, and symptoms), and (average scale score). **Results**: Acne prone individuals experienced functional and emotional consequences equivalent to psoriasis patients, but minor symptoms (Skindex completely operational scores of 14.9 and 22.8

psoriasis patients, but minor symptoms (Skindex completely operational scores of 14.9 and 22.8 [P=.08]; emotional marks of 39.2 and 38.9 [P=.95]; and symptomatic mark of 29.5 and 42.1 [P.05]). Over-40s least prone to develop acne improve after three months (43% vs 85%; p<.05) and had higher Skindex ratings. After correcting for gender and the severity of acne as established by dermatologists, elderly people reported a greater impact of acne on peoples lifestyle than the lower age participants.

Conclusions: Acne vulgaris negatively impacts sufferers' standard of living. Elderly people have been more impacted by the acne, irrespective of its extent.

Keywords: Acne vulgaris, skindex, life quality, socio-demographic parameter

Introduction

Despite the fact that acne is a very prevalent condition, only a few studies have paid particular attention to those who have acne vulgaris [1,2]. Researchers have previously examined the relationship between psychological aspects such as body dissatisfaction, depression, anxiety, personality traits, and self-concept and self-esteem and acne. Even though there has long been discussion about the possibility of a causal connection between acne and psychological trauma, psychological correlates (such as personality) and emotional triggers have only recently received less attention in favour of examining the outcomes of acne on peoples lifestyle (such as stress) [2, 3, 4]. This changed as valid metrics for assessing incompatibility and standard of living particularly in people with skin disorders, were accessible.

The consequences of adult acne have not received much attention, despite the fact that the topic is crucial for two reasons. a. More adults than ever before are getting treatment for acne, and b. Adults with acne may experience a variety of effects (eg, college work, employment, or social functioning). In this study, we questioned acne sufferers what concerned them the most and used Skindex, a validated quality of life scale for skin problems, to assess how their experiences had affected them ^[5, 6, 7]. Our team primarily focused on study problems: (1) What aggravates mature acne individuals the most? (2) How do grown-up acne sufferers' experiences compare to—or differ from—those of people in general or those who have other skin conditions?

Material and Methods

A cross-sectional investigation was done by Department of DVL, Kamineni Institute of Medical Sciences, Narketpally, Telangana, India from June 2017 to May 2018. In this study, 80 acne vulgaris patients who took the Skindex survey while waiting for dermatologist visits were analysed. These results were compared to 107 healthy volunteers, 44 psoriasis patients, 75 patients with benign skin lesions, and 44 psoriasis patients (here- after these subjects are referred to as the normative sample).

Corresponding Author: CH Vijay Bhasker Reddy Assistant Professor, Department of DVL, Kamineni Institute of Medical Sciences, Narketpally, Telangana, India Sociodemographic and medical records were gathered. Answers to fundamental questions concerning health, overall quality of life, and the significance of skin disease to one's life quality were rated on a 5-point category scale [8, 9, 10]. Higher scores indicate better health or more importance. Patients responded to a free-form question asking "What about your skin problem troubles you most?" On a scale of 1 to 5, the doctors rated acne as not present, moderate, mild, severe, or extremely severe. comparison using independent t tests and analysis of variance different sociodemographic and clinical groups' scale scores were evaluated. Extra patient self-evaluations were also considered.

Inclusion criteria

- 1. All adults people undergoing visits in three dermatological clinics of hospital who've had acne vulgaris and are previously identified.
- 2. Sufferers with acne vulgaris as their major condition

Exclusion criteria

1. Patients over the age of 55.

Result

Table 1: Socio-Demographic and clinical data from 80 acne vulgaris patients who responded to Skindex*

No. (0/)				
No. (%)				
Sex women 53(66.25)				
53(66.25)				
27(33.75)				
Age category, y 17-19 16(20)				
16(20)				
21(26.25)				
24(30)				
12(15)				
7(8.75)				
10 (0 1 0 5)				
69(86.25)				
8(10)				
3(3.75)				
assessment				
0				
8(10)				
13(16.25)				
33(41.25)				
26(32.5)				
of acne				
3(3.75)				
8(10)				
16(20)				
9(11.25)				
44(55)				
s severity score				
0				
11(13.75)				
27(33.75)				
25(31.25)				
17(21.25)				
0				

Skindex measures skin illness's influence on patients' lives. Less than 70 acne periods and dermatologist severity scores were reported (68 and 59 patients, respectively).

Acne vulgaris affected 17-53-year-olds. 87% of patients were white. Most patients had good or exceptional health.

98% had 2-year acne. Most had mild to moderate acne (SD=3.6+0.9). Men and women rated acne equally (3.6 vs. 3.6; P=.88).

Table 2: Participants Answers to the Question, "What About Your Skin Condition That Annoys You the Most?" Regarding Acne Vulgaris

Categorised Reply's	No. (%) of Mentions
Appearance	28(35)
Symptoms	13(16.25)
Recurrence	12(15)
Acne in general	9(11.25)
Acne as an adult	7(8.75)
Effect on image	2(2.5)
Annoying	2(2.5)
Treatment	2(2.5)
Other	5(6.25)

^{*}Out of 55 people, 72 mention.

72 patients had acne. The appearance of their skin condition bothered 35% of patients (P=.50). When asked about their appearance, 30% to 39% of the population responded, compared to 32%, 32%, 15%, and 32% of the age groups of 17 to 19, 20 to 29, 40 to 49, and 50 to 53. Acne and the dermatologist's clinical judgement were unrelated in 7 patients who had "adult acne."

Table 3: People With Acne Vulgaris and Related Skindex Score, Organized with Age

Age category, y	Functioning	Emotions	Symptoms
17-19 y (n=16)	10 <u>+</u> 13	25 <u>+</u> 16	16 <u>+8</u>
20-29 y (n=21)	12 <u>+</u> 14	40 <u>+</u> 29	32 <u>+</u> 17
30-39 y (n=24)	15 <u>+</u> 15	45 <u>+</u> 25	33 <u>+</u> 15
40-49 y (n=12)	21 <u>+</u> 16	38 <u>+</u> 14	29 <u>+</u> 21
50-53 y (n=7)	32 <u>+</u> 41	58 <u>+</u> 40	48 <u>+</u> 35

Acne affects men and women equally, but older people do better (r=0.24; P=.07). Those with severe acne had r=0.32 (P=.02), r=0.35 (P=.01), and r=0.22 (P=.09) between functioning, emotions, and symptoms on the Skindex scale (as determined by the dermatologist). Age and acne severity is linked to the Skindex marks in a model that included sex, age, and acne severity. ($p \le .01$). In this scenario, Skin-dex grew 22% per decade and 29% per severity grade.

Table 4: Score on Skindex of less aged and more aged adults, following three months, claimed no change in severe acne

Age, y	Functionality	Emotions	Symptoms
<40(n=5)	10 <u>+8</u>	33 <u>+</u> 23	33 <u>+</u> 22
\geq 40(n=5)	27 <u>+</u> 38	45 <u>+</u> 38	41 <u>+</u> 42

80% of 43 patients' skin improved three months following their first visit. 5 of 7, 40-plus-year-olds felt their skin was the same or worse, compared to 18% of lower age people (P=.02). Older participants denoted higher quality-of-life impacts in all 3 domains (P=.23 for composite score).

Discussion

Plewig and Kligman claim that visiting a dermatologist is a sign that a problem predated by more than 20 years. However, only recently have tools been created that can measure a patient's level of discomfort regarding ones life standard. The survey about the life quality of persons acne vulgaris patients with skin issues was evaluated. We found

that patients' quality of life is significantly impacted by acne. There are three key research results.

First, people with acne vulgaris described sensitive repercussions on the skin condition which is comparable to people with dermal conditions, which is frequently considered a skin condition with a significant impact on a person's life. Second, older individuals with acne vulgaris had considerably poorer effect on living [11, 12]. This study is important because it supports the widely held belief that patients who are vounger are more susceptible to the psychological effects of acne. Third, older individuals (>40 years) reported no improvement in their acne more commonly than younger individuals, and older individuals experienced higher negative impact upon lifestyle than the young participant who had not. To support these conclusions, data that were obtained three months after the start of therapy are used. Our results support the notion that acne vulgaris, especially in older people, lowers patients' quality of life [12].

Our study's limited sample size of 80 patients is a methodological challenge because it limits the applicability of our conclusions and our capacity to identify variations in significant subgroups. Any age judgments, nevertheless, are probably accurate given the wide age range of our responses. We also calculated an average across the several Skindex scales and provided a composite score to make comparisons easier. The score isn't accurately shows intensity, certain physical and psychosocial skin disease symptoms, even though the various scales are all equally weighted. However, similar methods have been used to a number of different instruments. Skin specialist' assessments on scale of 5 pointers to gauge the clinical severity of acne; however, these assessments have not been independently verified [13, 14].

To validate our findings, additional research involving bigger patient samples is required. According to a previous study, adult acne has a variety of effects on people's lives, including their professions, social interactions, and feelings of body dissatisfaction. For instance, Cunliffe found that among a sample of 1250 respondents, those with acne had a 7% higher unemployment rate. The effect of acne on a patient's life may change with age as well. In one study, isotretinoin was administered to 34 acne-prone adults (aged 21), despite the fact that their results on social assessment and social assertiveness tests were lower than those of younger participants. Salek et al. found no correlation between age and quality of life as assessed by the Acne Disability Index in a study of acne and quality of life among patients aged 15 to 45. The Acne Disability Index and the Skindex are entirely independent measurements, despite their apparent similarities. For instance, the Acne Disability Index assesses the expense of treating acne as well as the difficulty of skin care [15, 16].

Because adults may be more able to provide the most indepth information regarding the implications of a condition, enquired youngers thing that scared highest regarding acne and noted their responses by age. The majority of people in our survey felt displeasure with the appearance of their acne, given prior findings and remarks from acne sufferers. The respondents who had the most trouble with their appearance were between the ages of 30 and 39, it should be noted. One theory holds that people who get acne before the age of 30 are more likely to be teenagers who think their peers like them, whereas people who get acne after the age

of 40 may have come to terms with their acne. Overall, these data are consistent with the idea that a person's response to acne depends on their stage of life [16, 17].

The impact of acne on people life could be equivalent to other skin of person conditions that are typically thought to be incapacitating, according to prior qualitative study. Researchers found that eczema and psoriasis may have a more detrimental psycho-social impact on patients than acne in a study on how skin disorders affect self-image. We were able to compare quality of life across a variety of diagnostic categories using Skindex, a measure for patients with a variety of skin conditions. We found that people with acne had similar emotional outcomes but slightly varied impact on their physiological and social working, despite the fact that people with psoriasis had more symptoms and worse overall health. Skin's condition to overall standard of living was rated similarly by patients with psoriasis and acne [17, ^{18]}. Regarding wellbeing or fixation with their skin, this shows that people with acne are comparable to people with other conditions.

Conclusion

Our study supports previous results that more serious acne, as diagnosed by dermatologists, is more likely to be associated with psychological problems like anxiety and to have a more profound impact on patients' life. Our multivariate model, however, has shown that other characteristics, such as patient age and the severity of their acne, have a significant impact on how much the quality of life is lowered by acne. In fact, it was discovered in a prior study that patients' subjective evaluations of the severity of their acne problems had a higher influence on their quality of life than the real severity of the condition. Assessments of the quality of life of acne patients can be used to establish the overall impact of the condition and its treatment, in addition to assessments of the clinical severity of the disease.

Funding Source: None

Conflict of Interest: None

References

- 1. Stern RS. The prevalence of acne on the basis of physical examination. Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology. 1992;26(6):931-935.
- 2. Rossen MH, Roed-Petersen J. Acne vulgaris. Ugeskrift for Laeger. 1993;155(11):775-778.
- 3. Testa MA, Simonson DC. Assessment of quality-of-life outcomes. New England journal of medicine. 1996;334(13):835-840.
- 4. Koo J. The psychosocial impact of acne: patients' perceptions. Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology. 1995;32(5):S26-S30.
- 5. Krowchuk DP, Stancin T, Keskinen R, Walker R, Bass J, Anglin TM. The psychosocial effects of acne on adolescents. Pediatric dermatology. 1991;8(4):332-338.
- 6. Lionel Lim CC, Tan TC. Personality, disability and acne in college students. Clinical and experimental dermatology. 1991;16(5):371-373.
- 7. Myhill JE, Leichtman SR, Burnett JW. Self-esteem and social assertiveness in patients receiving isotretinoin treatment for cystic acne. Cutis (New York, NY). 1988;41(3):171-173.

- 8. Motley RJ, Finlay AY. How much disability is caused by acne?. Clinical and experimental dermatology. 1989;14(3):194-198.
- 9. Salek MS, Khan GK, Finlay AY. Questionnaire techniques in assessing acne handicap: reliability and validity study. Quality of life research. 1996;5(1):131-138.
- 10. Plewig G, Kligman AM. Acne: morphogenesis and treatment. Springer Science & Business Media; c2012.
- 11. Motley RJ, Finlay AY. Practical use of a disability index in the routine management of acne. Clinical and experimental dermatology. 1992;17(1):1-3.
- 12. Finlay AY, Khan G. Dermatology Life Quality Index (DLQI)—a simple practical measure for routine clinical use. Clinical and experimental dermatology. 1994;19(3):210-216.
- 13. Girman CJ, Hartmaier S, Thiboutot D, Johnson J, Barber B, DeMuro-Mercon C, *et al.* Evaluating health-related quality of life in patients with facial acne: development of a self-administered questionnaire for clinical trials. Quality of Life Research. 1996;5(5):481-490.
- 14. Stange KC, Fedirko T, Zyzanski SJ, Jaen CR. How do family physicians prioritize delivery of multiple preventive services?. Journal of Family Practice. 1994;38(3):231-238.
- Bombardier C, Melfi CA, Paul J, Green R, Hawker G, Wright J, et al. Comparison of a generic and a diseasespecific measure of pain and physical function after knee replacement surgery. Medical care, 1995, AS131-AS144
- 16. Gill TM, Feinstein AR. A critical appraisal of the quality of quality-of-life measurements. Jama. 1994;272(8):619-626.
- 17. Goulden V, Clark SM, Cunliffe WJ. Post-adolescent acne: a review of clinical features. British journal of dermatology. 1997;136(1):66-70.
- 18. Chren MM, Lasek RJ, Quinn LM, Mostow EN, Zyzanski SJ. Skindex, a quality-of-life measure for patients with skin disease: reliability, validity, and responsiveness. Journal of investigative Dermatology. 1996;107(5):707-713.